Dysregulated Hedgehog (Hh) signaling has been implicated in a growing number of human cancers. To date, most antagonists of this signaling pathway that have been developed target the Hh receptor Smoothened. However, these are predicted to have minimal effect when the pathway is activated as a result of dysregulation downstream of this receptor. In this issue of the JCI, Beauchamp and colleagues provide preclinical evidence that arsenic trioxide, a drug FDA approved for the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia, inhibits the growth of Ewing sarcoma and medulloblastoma cells by targeting GLI family zinc finger (GLI) proteins, which are Hh signaling pathway components downstream of Smoothened.

**Arsenic’s long history in medicine**

When you mention arsenic, many people think of its poisonous effects. The truth, however, is that although arsenic is associated with several toxicities in humans, including peripheral neuropathy, cardiomyopathy, and renal failure, this small molecule has been used as a medical therapy for over 2,000 years. Its use as an antileukemia agent dates back to the late 19th century, and it was only replaced by modern chemotherapeutics in the 1950s (1). Since then, arsenic compounds, primarily arsenic trioxide (ATO), have had a resurgence as chemotherapeutic agents. In the early 1990s, several clinical trials showed that ATO was of benefit in the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), with complete responses observed in 70%-90% of newly diagnosed patients and 90% of patients with recurrent or drug-resistant APL (2, 3).

It has been proposed that the mechanism of action by which ATO mediates its beneficial effects in patients with APL is through degradation of the fusion protein that drives disease, promyelocytic leukemia/retinoic acid receptor α (PML/RAR) (4). However, since ATO does show some modest benefit in multiple myeloma and myelodysplastic syndromes (1), other mechanisms of action are possible. In support of this, it has been shown in vitro that arsenic compounds can inhibit NF-κB and activate JNK activity to exert their effects either through stimulation of differentiation or induction of apoptosis (5, 6). However, a role for arsenic compounds in treating solid tumors has not been well established.

**Hedgehog signaling in cancer and Smoothened antagonists**

The Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway, originally identified for its critical role in multiple developmental processes in *Drosophila* (7), has been shown to be activated in several human cancers, with evidence for mutations in the genes encoding Hh signaling pathway components in some cases. Two cancers in which a role for dysregulated Hh signaling has been particularly well characterized are basal cell carcinoma and the pediatric brain tumor medulloblastoma (8). Based on preclinical mouse modeling that recapitulates the biology of human tumors through tissue-specific Hh activation, several Hh antagonists have been developed through in vitro screening of chemical libraries and proven to be efficacious in preclinical studies both in vitro and in vivo (9). To date, most of these Hh antagonists have targetted the Hh receptor Smoothened (Smo). These are, however, of little benefit in situations in which Hh signaling pathway dysregulation is mediated by effects on signaling components downstream of Smo, such as suppressor of fused homolog (Sufu) or GLI family zinc finger (GLI) proteins, or by increased expression of GLI1 through Hh-independent mechanisms (10, 11). Furthermore, recent clinical studies with a Smo antagonist, GDC-0449, in an adult medulloblastoma patient showed relatively rapid development of resistance to the drug, primarily as a result of mutations in SMO that prevented GDC-0449 binding to the encoded mutant protein (12). These data suggest that alternative approaches to blocking Hh signaling downstream of Smo are needed if this pathway is to be successfully targeted for the treatment of cancer.

**An additional mechanism for ATO action**

In this issue of the JCI, Beauchamp and colleagues report their preclinical studies using ATO in Ewing sarcoma cell lines and xenografts as well as in medulloblastoma cell lines and a transgenic mouse model of medulloblastoma (13). Although medulloblastoma and basal cell carcinoma are the best-characterized cancers with dysregulated Hh signaling, the investigators also focused their studies on Ewing sarcoma, as they previously established the Hh target gene GLI1 as an important transcriptional target of the oncogenic fusion protein Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1/Friend leukemia virus integration 1 (EWS/FLI1), which drives disease in many Ewing sarcomas (14). Importantly, Beauchamp and colleagues were able to show that ATO not only has anti-growth properties in these tumor types, but that its mechanism of action is likely through direct binding and inhibition of GLI1 and/or GLI2 transcriptional activity and not through other mechanisms, such as DNA binding ability or subcellular localization (Figure 1 and ref. 13). Furthermore, they showed that primary cilia, which play an important role in Hh signal transduction, were not required for GLI1 inhibition by ATO, supporting a mechanism of action that could enable the use of this compound in settings in which Hh signaling is dysregulated downstream of Smo, or even in Hh-independent settings in which the GLI genes are overexpressed through genomic amplification. To assess in vivo activity of ATO in Ewing sarcoma xenografts and medulloblastoma cell lines, Beauchamp and colleagues nicely showed a
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Correlation between the effects of ATO on growth inhibition and GLI protein levels: ATO affected growth in several tumor cell lines that have high levels of GLI1 or GLI2 protein expression, but little effect in cell lines with low GLI protein expression (13). Finally, using the ND2:SmoA1 transgenic mouse model of medulloblastoma, which expresses a constitutively activated Smo receptor within cerebellar granule cell precursors, they were able to show a marked survival benefit in ATO-treated animals. This result is particularly noteworthy, since a few studies in human patients treated with ATO after APL relapse within the CNS have suggested some benefit; a recent study of 45 patients who received single cycle ATO-based consolidation chemotherapy showed remission in 41 patients and only one patient who developed CNS relapse, with a median follow-up of 2.7 years (15). Conversely, others have shown that in two APL patients, when ATO levels were actually measured within the cerebrospinal fluid, ATO levels reached only 14–18% of the serum ATO level, suggestive of relatively poor entry into the human brain (16, 17). With both the Ewing sarcoma xenografts and transgenic mouse model of medulloblastoma, no clear in vivo toxicity from ATO treatment was noted at the doses tested (13).

Recently, Beachy’s group published a study demonstrating that ATO antagonizes Hh signaling primarily through interference with GLI2 (18). Although the main points of this study, that ATO interferes with GLI proteins in the context of a dysregulated Hh signaling pathway within cancer cells, are similar to those in the article by Beauchamp and colleagues (13), it is noteworthy that Beachy’s group suggests that ATO functions by preventing GLI2 accumulation within the primary cilium with short-term treatment and by reducing GLI2 protein stability with long-term treatment (Figure 1 and ref. 18). These differences of whether the primary cilium is required for GLI inhibition or whether GLI1 and/or GLI2 is the primary ATO target might be related to the different cell types used or technical differences in the assays in the respective studies and will need to be sorted out in subsequent analyses.

Future direction
The study by Beauchamp and colleagues (13) and that from the Beachy laboratory (18), both of which implicate GLI proteins as molecular targets of ATO action, encourage further investigation of the role of Hh signaling in APL and other hematological malignancies in which ATO has been effective, including multiple myeloma and myelodysplastic syndromes. There has been little reported in the literature regarding the status of Hh or GLI activity within APL patients. One report of a small cohort of three patients with APL showed evidence of GLI1 expression in all three patients (19). Larger sample sizes will need to be investigated to confirm this, but the preliminary finding suggests that the beneficial effects of ATO in patients with APL might be the result of the drug targeting both PML/RAR and GLI proteins.

Although several other Hh signaling pathway antagonists, such as GLI-antagonist (GANT) small-molecule compounds, have been reported to function at the level of the GLI proteins, they will require significant preclinical testing to assess safety and efficacy before entering clinical trials, unlike ATO, an already FDA-approved treatment for APL (20). For non-CNS malignancies, such as Ewing sarcoma, that are Hh driven or express elevated levels of GLI protein, the ATO dosing regimen for APL can serve as a baseline for determining the appropriate dose, provided that GLI inhibition in preclinical studies demonstrates a benefit. However, with regard to using ATO for the treatment of medulloblastoma or other brain tumors, the pharmacokinetics of ATO dosing for adequate CNS penetration will need to be determined in order to minimize toxicity. As Ewing sarcoma and medulloblastoma are primarily found within the pediatric population, caution should be used when considering Hh signaling pathway blockade as a targeted therapy, particularly for younger patients. As the Hh pathway is crucial for both embryonic and postnatal development, Hh inhibition in younger patients may lead to teratogenic effects beyond typical drug toxicities. For example, in preclinical studies assessing Hh antagonists, even transient inhibition of Hh signaling in young mice resulted in profound and permanent defects in bone structure (21). Finally, when using xenografts to evaluate potential anticancer agents in preclinical studies, it is noteworthy that in many cases, the tumor still grows, albeit at a slower rate than that of control animals. Whether this is due to inadequate drug dosing or efficacy versus acquired resistance to the treatment over time is not clear; however, these find-
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Advances in DNA sequencing technologies have increased attention on genetic variation in somatic tissues. Although long known to cause neoplastic diseases, somatic variation is now being investigated as a pathogenetic mechanism for other diseases. Somatic changes are genomic DNA variations that were not inherited but arise in tissues throughout life. In this issue of the JCI, Magerus-Chatinet et al. explore somatic changes in patients with autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS), a congenital disease of defective apoptosis and autoimmunity that is usually associated with germ-line heterozygous mutations in the gene encoding the Fas death receptor. They explain why certain individuals have severe disease manifestations by documenting somatic alterations in the germline normal FAS allele in an unusual population of “double-negative” T cells found in ALPS. Thus, the oncological concept of somatic loss of heterozygosity leading to selected cell expansion also applies to autoimmune diseases.

The pathological role of somatic genetic changes in cancer has long been recognized, but the contribution of somatic mutations in other diseases is only now being uncovered. Somatic mutations are genetic alterations that are not inherited but arise in cells in the body over time. Recent reports have revealed an important role for somatic mutations in a variety of diseases, including ichthyosis with confetti, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, and severe combined immunodeficiency syndrome (1–3). Somatic mutations in highly proliferative tissues such as blood and epithelia may be more common than previously appreciated. These somatic events may only be evident when they provide proliferative advantage and cause clinical manifestations in the context of disease. In this issue of the JCI, Magerus-Chatinet and colleagues report on their discovery of how somatic mutations underlie the variable
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